# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JOHN HANCOCK LIFE INSURANCE (COMPANY, (COMPANY)	
Plaintiff and Counterclaim  Defendant,	
v	CIVIL ACTION NO. 05-11614-WGY
VESTMONT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,	•
VESTMONT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP II,	•
VESTMONT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP III,	)
and VESTERRA CORPORATION d/b/a	)
MONTGOMERY SQUARE PARTNERSHIP,	)
	)
Defendants and Counterclaim	)
Plaintiffs.	)
	)

# JOINT PRE-TRIAL CONFERENCE MEMORANDUM

Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company ("John Hancock" or "Hancock") and defendants Vestmont Limited Partnership, Vestmont Limited Partnership III, Vestmont Limited Partnership III, and Vesterra Corporation d/b/a Montgomery Square Partnership (collectively, "Montgomery Partners", "Vesterra" or "Defendants"), hereby submit this Joint Pre-Trial Conference Memorandum pursuant to the Court's March 17, 2006, Procedural Order and in advance of the final pre-trial conference scheduled for March 30, 2006, commencing at 2:00 p.m.

# A. Summary Of Evidence To Be Offered

# 1. Plaintiff's Concise Summary of Evidence

This is a breach of contract action in which John Hancock seeks to enforce the unambiguous terms of a binding \$32 million loan commitment that Hancock and defendants negotiated and entered into in the summer of 2004 (the "Loan Commitment").

Under the Loan Commitment, Hancock agreed, subject to various standard and negotiated conditions, to provide Montgomery Partners with \$32 million in permanent financing on a 256 unit apartment complex in North Wales, Pennsylvania (the "Avenel Apartments") at any time over the ensuing twelve months at a "locked" interest rate of 6.18% per annum. Montgomery Partners expressly agreed, in return, to either actually "borrow the Principal Amount" set forth in the Loan Commitment from John Hancock on or before August 1, 2005, or to pay "all ... damages, losses, costs and expenses suffered or incurred by John Hancock" as a result of their failure to close the loan.

Notwithstanding the clear terms of the Loan Commitment, Montgomery Partners admittedly made no effort to "borrow the Principal Amount" from John Hancock on or before the scheduled closing date of August 1, 2005. Rather, Montgomery Partners elected to take advantage of the strong demand for apartment properties in the Pennsylvania area to enlist a buyer for the Avenel Apartments project. Beginning in May of 2005, Montgomery Partners began the process of soliciting private bids on the Avenel Apartments from interested buyers. Montgomery Partners ultimately agreed to sell the project to an entity controlled by JP Morgan Chase Bank for \$59,250,000. Montgomery Partners' anticipated gain on the sale of the Avenel Apartments is approximately \$28 million.

Due to a significant drop in interest rates between execution of the Loan Commitment and the time of Montgomery Partners' default, John Hancock's current total economic losses on this failed transaction (not including attorney's fees) are estimated to be approximately \$4,700,000. John Hancock seeks compensation for its losses, as well as its reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

# 2. Defendants' Concise Summary of Evidence

This lawsuit concerns a mortgage loan application (the "Loan Application") submitted by Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs (collectively, "Defendants" or "Vesterra") to Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company ("John Hancock"). The Loan was never made. Vesterra will show that after negotiating the Loan Application, which contained certain terms and conditions and included Vesterra's own financial projections, John Hancock, without disclosure to Vesterra, changed the terms and conditions and modified the borrower's financial projections.

John Hancock's own documents, and the testimony of its witnesses, demonstrate that, without informing Vesterra, John Hancock only approved the Loan Application after adding another requirement for disbursement of the mortgage loan — a requirement which Vesterra's own figures showed that it was unlikely to meet. John Hancock did not inform Vesterra of this additional requirement after being told by its local field representative that it was a "deal killer," and after realizing that killing the deal at that point would result in a hedge loss of \$355,000 and the return of \$960,000 in fees to Vesterra.

Nonetheless, John Hancock proceeded to add this new requirement - referred to as the 10% Constant requirement - for the sizing and disbursement of the loan, and modified the related financial projections, because this was required by John Hancock's new head of

mortgage operations in the United States. Testimony from both John Hancock and Vesterra witnesses will confirm that John Hancock never told Vesterra about the new requirement and modified financial projections. In fact, Vesterra did not learn about the additional requirement until discovery in this litigation commenced.

Because of this added disbursement requirement, which Vesterra's figures showed that it would not meet, John Hancock was not ready, willing, and able to perform under the conditions contained in the Loan Application. Accordingly, John Hancock cannot sue Vesterra for breach of the Loan Application. Further, Vesterra relied on John Hancock's failure to disclose the added 10% Constant requirement, and the manipulated financial projections, in not seeking to rescind any agreement and in not obtaining alternate financing from a lender that would not impose such an unacceptable condition for disbursement of the Loan.

In addition, Vesterra will prove that there was no "meeting of the minds" on the essential terms of the agreement between the parties.¹ Vesterra possesses ample evidence to show the existence of and materiality of the difference in John Hancock's "state of mind" when it appeared to accept Vesterra's offer. This evidence includes admissions by John Hancock employees and the refusal to produce, on attorney-client privilege grounds, an amended Loan Application which was prepared by John Hancock but never submitted to Vesterra (which the Court may presume, and the jury may infer, would have been submitted to Vesterra's to seek its agreement to this new condition and the modified financial projections).

Vesterra will also introduce both fact and expert testimony respecting the damages for

Although the Court has ruled that a contract was formed, Vesterra intends to introduce evidence, including expert testimony, that will show that the John Hancock adopted the 10% Constant as a requirement for disbursement of the loan before it "accepted" the Loan Application, and will then respectfully request reconsideration of the Court's ruling on this issue.

Application. Certain conditions of the Loan Application were carefully drafted by John Hancock to expressly provide, in great detail, that after the loan was closed, the borrower would be required to pay to the lender the yield expected over the life of the loan, even if the borrower were to seek to repay it prior to its expiration. Vesterra will present evidence that those who are experienced in negotiating the terms and conditions of commercial mortgages such as this would expect any substantial deal term to be explicitly set forth in this manner. However, a plain reading of the Loan Application shows that no express provision for such a measure of damages is set forth for the eventuality present in this case — where the Loan never closed. Instead, John Hancock specified in the Loan Application that it would be entitled to retain the fees paid, in this case \$960,000, and any damages or "Costs" as defined in the Loan Application. There is no question that the Loan Application does not make any mention of "yield maintenance" for a loan which was never made.

Vesterra will also show that, due to circumstances beyond its control, the apartments never reached the required minimum occupancy required in the Loan Application for John Hancock to disburse any portion of the loan. There was no legal obligation on Vesterra to seek to close the Loan in such circumstances. Consequently, in the spring of 2005 Vesterra sought out other options, including sale of the property, and promptly informed John Hancock. When John Hancock learned of this, it decided to seek to share in the appreciation in the value of the property, notwithstanding the absence of any right to do so.

John Hancock has presented no evidence of any actual losses due to failure of the loan to close. Vesterra will present evidence that if there were any such losses, they amount to very little, and further that John Hancock has failed to mitigate any such damages.

### B. Agreed-Upon Facts

The Parties have agreed upon and stipulate to the following facts:

- 1. Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company ("John Hancock") is an insurance company existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with its principal place of business in Boston, Massachusetts. John Hancock provides a broad array of insurance and investment products to individual and institutional customers, primarily in North America.
- 2. John Hancock's Real Estate Investment Group provides commercial mortgage loans on properties, including large residential apartment complexes.
- 3. John Hancock was acquired by Manulife Financial Corporation of Canada ("Manulife") on April 28, 2004.
- 4. Vesterra Corporation is a corporation existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and Vestmont Limited Partnership, Vestmont Limited Partnership II and Vestmont Limited Partnership III are limited partnerships existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Each is a general partner in Montgomery Square Partnership ("Montgomery Square").
- 5. Montgomery Square was formed for the purpose of developing a tract of land containing approximately 180 acres located in the suburban Philadelphia area. This development eventually included a retail shopping center and a 256-unit residential apartment complex known as "Avenel at Montgomery Square" (the "Avenel Apartments").
- 6. Montgomery Square's three principals -- James R. Koller, Joseph P. Kelly and Frank C. Palopoli -- all are experienced and sophisticated real estate developers.

- 7. Mr. Koller is a licensed attorney and a former partner in the real estate department of the international law firm of Dechert, Price & Rhoads (now "Dechert").
- 8. Mr. Palopoli is a real estate consultant with more than twenty-five years of experience.
  - 9. Joseph Kelly is an experienced accountant who formerly was a CPA.
- 10. Together, Messrs. Koller, Kelly and Palopoli have developed more than 1,500,000 square feet of commercial properties in the Pennsylvania area since 1986.
- 11. Montgomery Square Partnership is the owner and developer of the Avenel Apartments. Construction of the Avenel Apartments commenced in 2003 and originally was scheduled to be completed in March 2005.
- 12. In the summer of 2004, Montgomery Square sought a forward commitment for a permanent mortgage loan of \$32 million in order to lock in a favorable interest rate and to repay its existing construction loan of more than \$30 million and related costs.
- 13. In June 2004, John Hancock's regional manager in Pennsylvania, John Ferrie, notified John Hancock's home office of a potential loan opportunity in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania.
- 14. John Hancock and Montgomery Square thereafter negotiated the terms of a document that, in its final form, is entitled "Application to John Hancock Life Insurance Company for a First Mortgage Loan" (the "Loan Application/Commitment").
- 15. The negotiations leading to the execution of the Loan Application/Commitment by Montgomery Square took place exclusively in Pennsylvania.

- 16. James R. Koller, on behalf of Montgomery Square Partnership, signed the Loan Application/Commitment on July 30, 2004 in Pennsylvania, and submitted it to John Hancock's representative in Pennsylvania.
- 17. Pursuant to the Loan Application/Commitment, Montgomery Square obtained an interest rate lock for one year at 6.18% on August 2, 2004.
- 18. Montgomery Square paid certain fees to John Hancock as specified in the Loan Application/Commitment.
- 19. Montgomery Square paid a "Processing Fee" by delivering a check in the amount of \$5,000 to John Hancock's representative in Pennsylvania.
- 20. In August 2004, Montgomery Square paid an "Application Fee" by obtaining an irrevocable transferable Letter of Credit payable to John Hancock in the amount of \$640,000 and delivering it to John Hancock's representative in Pennsylvania.
- 21. In August 2004, Montgomery Square paid a "Commitment Fee" by obtaining an irrevocable transferable Letter of Credit payable to John Hancock in the amount of \$320,000 and delivering it to John Hancock's representative in Pennsylvania.
- 22. Once the Loan Application/Commitment was signed by Montgomery Square and the \$5,000 fee paid, John Hancock's internal approval process began.
- 23. Once the Loan Application/Commitment was negotiated and signed by Montgomery Square, the following occurred: (1) an interest rate was "locked in" for the proposed loan; (2) a loan approval package containing, among other things, a description of the proposed loan terms and information regarding the Avenel Apartments, was circulated to designated Hancock officers for their review; and (3) John Hancock "allocated" the \$32 million for the Loan to various lines of business.

- 24. During the loan application process, various internal underwriting guidelines typically are used by lenders such as John Hancock to assess the borrower's ability to repay, and the lender's risk in making, a particular loan.
- 25. Ivor Thomas, formerly a Manulife employee, became the head of John Hancock's mortgage operations in the United States after it merged with Manulife.
- 26. Mr. Malik reduced the estimated expenses by \$140,000 and the reserves by \$26,500 (from \$250 per unit to \$150 per unit).
- 27. On August 17, 2004, Patricia Coyne of John Hancock sent a Memorandum to Mr. Malik, with a copy to John Hancock's closing department.
- 28. On August 17, 2004, Mr. Malik countersigned the Loan Application/Commitment on behalf of John Hancock.
- 29. The Loan Application/Commitment executed by the parties does not contain a "10% Constant" requirement.
- 30. The anticipated cash flow or income for the Avenel Apartments was to be derived primarily from the leasing of apartments.
- 31. Before February 2005, Bozzuto Management Company ("Bozzuto") managed the Avenel Apartments project.
- 32. In February 2005, Koller Kelly, LLC ("Koller Kelly") began to provide management services for Avenel.
  - 33. John Hancock commenced this action for damages in August 2005.
- 34. John Hancock collected and retains the \$965,000 in fees paid by Montgomery Square under the Loan Application/Commitment.

#### C. Contested Issues of Fact

# 1. Plaintiff believes the contested issues of fact are as follows:

- i. Whether John Hancock was "ready, willing and able" to close the Loan in accordance with the terms of the Loan Commitment on or before August 1, 2005.
- ii. Whether John Hancock's internal use of the 10% Constant underwriting changed the terms of the Loan Commitment.
- iii. Whether John Hancock's internal use of the 10% Constant underwriting guideline was fraudulent.
- iv. Whether the Defendants actually relied in any way upon John Hancock's internal use or non-use of the 10% Constant underwriting guideline.
- v. The amount of "damages, losses, costs and expenses suffered or incurred by John Hancock" as a result of the Defendants' failure to close the Loan in accordance with the terms of the Loan Commitment on or before August 1, 2005.
- vi. Whether any of the Defendants' Affirmative Defenses preclude John Hancock's relief, in whole or in part.

# 2. Defendants believe the contested issues of fact to be as follows:

- i. Whether John Hancock was "ready, willing and able" to close the Loan in accordance with the terms of the Loan Application.
- ii. Whether the 10% Constant "disbursement requirement" continued to be a requirement for disbursement of the Loan after John Hancock's August, 2004 "approval" of the Loan.
- Whether John Hancock breached any agreement based on the Application when it added a disbursement requirement to those in the Loan Application/Commitment and manipulated the financial projections submitted by Defendants and made a part of the Loan Application/Commitment.
- iv. Whether John Hancock's decision not to disclose the 10% Constant disbursement requirement and the manipulated financial projections was based on John Hancock's awareness that such disclosure would have caused Vesterra to cancel or rescind the Loan Application.

- v. Whether Vesterra reasonably relied on this non-disclosure in not revoking the Loan Application before John Hancock "approved" it and in not canceling or rescinding after John Hancock "approved" it.
- vi. Whether and to what degree Vesterra was injured as a result of such reliance.
- vii. Whether John Hancock's actions were such as to demonstrate intentional, willful, wanton or reckless conduct, thereby allowing the award of punitive damages.
- viii. If there was a breach by Vesterra, what losses were contemplated by the parties at the time they entered into the Loan Application for which Defendants could be liable for failure to close the Loan, as opposed to remedies for prepayment of or default on the Loan after it was disbursed.
- ix. If there was a breach by Vesterra, whether John Hancock incurred any damages recoverable under the Loan Application when the Loan failed to close.
- x. Whether John Hancock mitigated any such losses, and the degree to which John Hancock could have reasonably mitigated any such losses.
- xi. Whether any of the Defendants' Affirmative Defenses and Counterclaims preclude John Hancock's relief, in whole or in part.
- xii. Whether there was a meeting of the minds on the terms and conditions of the Loan Application for disbursement of the Loan. (Defendants recognize that the Court has ruled that a contract was formed, but will respectfully request reconsideration of that ruling upon consideration of the complete evidentiary record at trial.)

#### D. Jurisdictional Questions

The Parties are not aware of any jurisdictional questions.

# E. Questions Raised By Pending Motions

There is one motion currently pending before the Court -- Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company to Comply with Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice, filed on March 29, 2006.

#### F. Issues of Law

# 1. Plaintiff believes the issues of law are as follows:

- i. Whether John Hancock's internal use of the 10% Constant underwriting guideline changed the terms of the Loan Commitment.
- ii. Whether John Hancock's conduct in approving and preparing to close the Loan was "unfair and deceptive" such as to constitute a violation of M.G.L. c. 93A.
- iii. Whether John Hancock's conduct in approving and preparing to close the Loan was fraudulent under Pennsylvania law.
- iv. Whether the Agreement and John Hancock's conduct in Massachusetts is governed by Pennsylvania law.
- v. Whether the transaction contemplated in the Loan Commitment occurred "primarily and substantially" in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts so as to entitle Defendants to relief under M.G.L. c. 93A.

# 2. Defendants believe the issues of law are as follows:

Because violation of chapter 93A is a question for the Court, both issues of fact and law related to that claim are included below.

- i. The legal implications of the findings regarding the contested issues of fact, above, including the measure of damages, if any, for failure to close the Loan.
- ii. Whether Conditions 30(b) and 30(c) of the Loan Application, providing for retention of the Application Fee and Commitment Fee, constitute liquidated damages provisions that were reasonable estimates of the total damages that John Hancock would suffer in the event that the loan did not close due to a breach by Vesterra.
- iii. Whether any obligations under the Loan Application survived Vesterra's inability to achieve the occupancy requirements set forth in the Loan Application.
- iv. Whether John Hancock's addition of 10% Constant as a "disbursement requirement," and its manipulation of the financial projections (that were made a part of John Hancock's loan approval), effected material changes in the terms and conditions contained in the Loan Application.
- v. Whether John Hancock's conduct in intentionally not disclosing to Vesterra that it had added the 10% Constant as a "disbursement

- requirement," and had manipulated the financial projections made a part of the Loan Application, was "unfair and deceptive" such as to constitute a violation of M.G.L. c. 93A.
- vi. Whether the unfair and deceptive acts, including John Hancock's decision to add and then not to disclose the 10% Constant requirement, occurred "primarily and substantially" in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts so as to entitle Defendants to relief under M.G.L. c. 93A.
- vii. The damages incurred by Vesterra as a result of such acts.
- viii. The measure of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
- ix. Whether the conduct violating chapter 93A was willful or knowing, subjecting John Hancock to double or treble damages.

#### G. Amendments to the Pleadings

On March 29, 2006, the Court granted in part Defendants' motion for leave to file a Second Amended Counterclaim. Defendants will file the Second Amended Counterclaim pursuant to the Court's March 29, 2006 Order. Per the Court's invitation, John Hancock intends to file a Motion to Dismiss is response to the Defendants' Second Amended Counterclaim.

#### H. Additional Matters

The Parties anticipate filing one or more motions in limine prior to trial. The Parties are not aware at this time of any other matters that could aid in this disposition of the action, but reserve the right to bring such matters to the Court's attention should they arise between now and the time of trial.

# I. Probable Length of Trial

Based upon the Court's customary 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. trial day, John Hancock and the Defendants estimate the probable length of trial to be five (5) trial days.

#### J. Witnesses

#### 1. Plaintiff's Witnesses

- i. Fact Witnesses (live or by deposition):
  - Ms. Patricia Coyne
     John Hancock Life Insurance Company
     Real Estate Finance Group
     197 Clarendon Street
     Boston, MA 02116
  - Mr. Brian Depolis
     John Hancock Life Insurance Company
     Real Estate Finance Group
     486 Norristown Road, Suite 130
     Blue Bell, PA 19422
  - 3. Mr. Jonathan P. Ferrie
    John Hancock Life Insurance Company
    Real Estate Finance Group
    486 Norristown Road, Suite 130
    Blue Bell, PA 19422
  - Mr. Joseph Kelly
     851 Wright Drive
     Maple Glen, PA 19002
  - Mr. James Koller
     892 Andorra Road
     Lafayette Hill, PA 19444
  - 6. Mr. Timothy J. Malik
    John Hancock Life Insurance Company
    Real Estate Finance Group
    197 Clarendon Street, C-3
    Boston, MA 02116
  - 7. Ms. Helene McCole
    John Hancock Life Insurance Company
    Real Estate Finance Group
    486 Norristown Road, Suite 130
    Blue Bell, PA 19422

- Mr. Frank Palopoli 8. 1017 Lorien Drive Ambler, PA 19002
- Mr. Thomas C. Rogers 9. White and Williams LLP 1800 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103
- Mr. Ivor Thomas 10. John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 197 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116
- Ms. Joan Uzdavinis 11. John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 197 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116
- All witnesses on Defendants' Witness List 12.
- All impeachment and rebuttal witnesses 13.

#### **Expert Witnesses:** ii.

- Mr. Simon Platt 1. StoneTurn Group, LLP 60 State Street Suite 700 Boston, MA 02109
- Mr. Walter E. Mercer 2. Paris Hill Realty Advisors 173 Oakleigh Road Newton, MA 02458

#### **Defendants' Witnesses** 2.

- Fact Witnesses (live or by deposition): i.
  - James R. Koller 1. Vesterra Corporation 892 Andorra Road Lafayette Hills, PA

2. Joseph P. Kelly Vesterra Corporation 892 Andorra Road Lafayette Hills, PA

Case 1:05-cv-11614-WGY

- Ivor Thomas 3. John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 197 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116
- 4. Timothy J. Malik John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 486 Norristown Road, Suite 130 Blue Bell, PA 19422
- John P. Ferrie 5. John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 486 Norristown Road, Suite 130 Blue Bell, PA 19422
- Patricia Coyne 6. John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 197 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116
- David Henderson 7. General Electric Real Estate Boston, MA
- Barry Nectow 8. ARCap REIT, Inc. Boston, MA
- Joan Uzdavinis 9. John Hancock Life Insurance Company Real Estate Finance Group 197 Clarendon Street Boston, MA 02116
- All witness on Plaintiff's Witness List. 10.

11. All impeachment and rebuttal witnesses.

## ii. Expert Witnesses:

- 1. R. Larry Johnson Veris Consulting, LLC Washington, D.C.
- 2. Linda C. Spevacek
  Bartram & Cochran, Inc.
  Hartford, CT

# K. Proposed Trial Exhibits

Plaintiff's list of proposed trial exhibits is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Defendants' list of proposed trial exhibits is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The Parties will work together to review and consolidate their lists into a single list prior to trial. The Parties reserve the right to supplement this list reasonably in advance of trial if and as necessary. The parties also have agreed to exchange reasonably in advance of trial any chalks or other demonstrative exhibits that they intend to use.

VESTMONT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, VESTMONT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP II, VESTMONT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP III, and VESTERRA CORPORATION d/b/a MONTGOMERY SQUARE PARTNERSHIP JOHN HANCOCK LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY

By their attorneys,

By its attorneys,

## /s/ Robert D. Hillman

Steven J. Brooks (BBO No. 059140)
Robert D. Hillman (BBO No. 552637)
DEUTSCH WILLIAMS BROOKS
DeRENSIS & HOLLAND, P.C.
99 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110-1213
Tele.: 617-951-2300

#### /s/ Brian A. Davis

Brian A. Davis (BBO No. 546462)
Paul D. Popeo (BBO No. 567727)
Lisa M. Gaulin (BBO No. 654655)
CHOATE, HALL & STEWART LLP
Two International Place
Boston, MA 02110
Tele.: 617-248-5000

Howard D. Scher, Esq. Brian J. McCormick, Jr., Esq. C. Randolph Ross, Esq. BUCHANAN INGERSOLL PC 1835 Market Street, Floor 14 Philadelphia, PA 19103 Tele.: 215-665-8700

Date: March 30, 2006

4065239.1

# **EXHIBIT A**

					L	Г
***************************************		Malik 6; Ferrie 4		8/11/2004	50 Jr. Illelia Approva Downsia	-T
A THE SAME AND A SAME		Covne 1: Henderson 3	48	8/6/2004	_	T-
	the state of the s		3000 H 0000	PU02/2/2/0	1	_
		THE PARTY OF THE P	H 01009-01030	PU0C/2/8	L	T
		**************************************		VOUCE/S	┸	-
		Nectow 1: Uzdavinis 2	_:	MOCOUR	L	Т
		Ferrie 15		8/2/2004	L	T
		Malik 7; Malik 16		8/2/2004	$\perp$	Т
***************************************		Koller 7	8	8/2/2004		T
			JH 00290	8/2/2004	⊥.	-T-
	The state of the s			8/2/2004	_	-T-
	111Villiani 111Vil	Ferrie 2; Malik 3	1	7/30/2004		Т
	**************************************	Koller 8; Kelly 5; Coyne 5	1	7/30/2004	Ĺ	1
			JH 00293-00295	7/30/2004	⊥	7
	WIIIIWWW.			7/29/2004	37 Jetter from Ferrie to MSP	T
		Ferrie 11	JH 00220-00221 F	7/29/2004		1
	**************************************	Kelly 6; Ferrie 9 (00219 only)		7/29/2004	35 E-mail from Ferrie to Kelly	
		WHITE AND THE PARTY OF THE PART	. L	7/22/2004		
The state of the s		Koller 6; Ferrie 8	<u></u>	7/21/2004	33 JH Term Sheet without handwriting	
			ㅗ	//19/2004		
	With the state of	SAMANANA TERRETARIAN SAMANAN SAMAN	10/02/07/01	//19/2004	31 E-mail from Ferrie to Kelly	Г
	The state of the s	ALTERNATION AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	17110-801171	7/12/2004		Γ
		OIG 10		1002004	L	_
	***************************************	KOTOR AR	┷	#0021001g	L.,	T
		X16 1		4002/00/0	<u>L</u>	Ī
		Kelly 7	_ 1_	400000	Ļ	Т
				**************************************	l	I
		Ferrie 6	긱	8/18/2004	┸	Т
		Koller 3: Kelly 3: Ferrie 7	}-	6/18/2004	1	T
		Malik 12: Ferrie 5	ζī-	6/17/2004	┸	Т
		Koller 4; Kelly 4	_	6/15/2004	1	Т
			_	6/11/2004	20 PARTIE OF ANIMATION CONC. CONC. CONC.	Т
		Koller 13: Kelly 24		6/9/2004		***************************************
- Administration of the second			JH 01421-01467	Apr-04		T
		AMILIAN AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND A	JH 01068-01071	Nov-03		1
		A THE TAX A THE		9/30/02		-
		**************************************			16 Documents Pertaining to Sale of Warwick Square Property	T
					╝	
	***************************************	***************************************				1
CONSTRUCTION OF STREET, STREET	7100001	Koller 1; Kelly 1	JH 00779			$\neg$
The state of the s	***************************************	Koller 2; Kelly 2	L		12 Representative Projects for Vesterra	٦
			V 0569-0589		┙	
	WATER TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY	Malik 11				1
			JH 00739-00763			7
		when the state of			8 Manulife Credit Procedures	1
Walk and the second sec		Uzdavinis 12 and 13	JH 01412-01420 U		_	1
	***************************************		JH 00660-00692		┙	Т
- The state of the		Malik 17	JH 01119 N			<b>-</b>
The state of the s		A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	V 1667-2235		4 Property	
					3 representatives regarding purchase or revenue reports	
**************************************			V 2328-2507			T
	***************************************	Kelly 8	L l	***************************************	2 Charts	Τ
						1.
Defendants' Objections	Plaintiff's Objections	Deposition Exhibit Number (if used as one)	Bates Range	Date	No. Document Description	-   
				***************************************	AND THE PARTY OF T	T
		list of Pronosed Trial Exhibits	l ict of D		WARRIED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	٦
***************************************	***************************************	**************************************				

		_	_			_	4			_						_	_	$\perp$		84 Famail from Kelly to Koller		1	81 F-mail from Ferrie to Malik	_	L	$\perp$	1	L	1_		72 Rockwood Engagement Letter	Ш		_	68   efter from   everoni to Stout		65 E-mail from Rogers to Russell	1_			_	60 E-mail from Russell to Rogers		ᆚ	57 Assignment Memorandum to Closing Department		55 Letter from Malik to Thomas and Henderson	1	1
12/23/2005	12/23/2005	11/9/2005	9/28/2005	9/23/2005	8/5/2005	8/1/2005	7/20/2005	7/15/2005	7/13/2005	6/23/2005	6/23/2005	6/23/2005	6/23/2005	6/23/2005	6/23/2005	6/21/2005	6/20/2005	6/16/2005	6/16/2005	6/15/2005	6/9/2005	6/9/2005	6/9/2005	6/7/2005	6/6/2005	5/31/2005	5/25/2005	5/16/2005	5/16/2005	3000/37/3	5/12/2005	5/12/2005	5/10/2005	5/10/2005	5/9/2005	4/28/2005	4/14/2005	4/2/2005	1/25/2005	12/1/2004	9/23/2004	9/22/2004	9/21/2004	9/21/2004	8/30/2004	8/18/2004	8/16/2004	8/16/2004	8/16/2004
V 1084-1086	V 1575-1578	V2143	JH 00524-00637	V 1038-1062	V 1666	V 1655-1662	V 2299-2325	V 0120	V 2258-2298	JH 01217-01222	V 0592-0593	V 0594-0596	V 0597-0599	JH 00157-00158	V 0564-0565	JH 01197	JH 00482	V 0590-0591	JH 00483-00485	V 1637-1642	V 2249-2253	JH 01213	JH 01196	JH 00149	JH 00912	JH 01211-01212	V 2246-2248	JH 01223-01230	JH 00494-00502	H 01104-01105	7 1020-1032	V 2236-2245	V 0566-0568	JH 00445	V 0200-0207	JH 00152	JH 00153	H 00154	JH UZZ/6-UZ514	V 2955-2988	JH 00511	JH 00512-00513	JH 00517-00521	V 0303-0488	JH 01177-01182	JH 00694-00695	JH 01176	JH 00405-00425	JH 0123-00124
Koller 20	The state of the s	Kelly 26	Koller 19, Kelly 9				Kelly 18	Koller 16	Kelly 17				The state of the s			Kelly 16	Koller 14; Kelly 25	The state of the s	Kelly 15	The state of the s	The state of the s	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF	Koller 12; Kelly 23; Malik 10; Ferrie 21	Koller 11; Kelly 22; Ferrie 20	Kelly 21	Koller 10; Kelly 20; Malik 9; Ferrie 18	Kelly 10		Kelly 14			Kelly 18	U-11, 40	Kelly 13			The state of the s		- Total Control Contro			The state of the s	Koller 9; Kelly 12			Kelly 11	Henderson 7	Kelly 27; Ferrie 16; Malik 1; Henderson 6; Coyne 3; Uzdavinis 3	

# **EXHIBIT B**

# DEFENDANTS' TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST

		DATE	RATES
EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	TI LEVE	RANGE
,	Application to John Hancock Life Insurance Company for a First Mortgage Loan	7/30/04	JH00958-1028
2.	Letter from O. Campbell/B. Maguire to J. Kelly	6/15/04	V1158-1159
	E-Mail from J. Ferrie to T. Malik, attaching Preliminary Loan Information	6/17/04	JH00100-105
4.	Letter from J. Ferrie to J. Kelly	6/18/04	JH00074-77; V1181- 1188
5.	Letter from O. Maguire to J. Kelly	7/2/04	V1160
6.	Fax/Letter from J. Kelly to O. Maguire	7/14/04	V1161-1168
7.	Letter from J. Ferrie to R. Kelly	7/21/04	JH00733-737
8.	Letter from J. Ferrie to J. Kelly	7/29/04	JH00219
9.	E-Mail from T. Malik to J. Ferrie	7/29/04	JH00173
10.	Letter from J. Ferrie to Montgomery Square	7/29/04	JH00220-221
	E-Mail from T. Malik to J. Ferrie	7/29/04	JH00218
12.	Letter from T. Malik to J. Ferrie	7/30/04	JH00162-163

Defendants reserve the right to further amend and/or supplement their pretrial exhibit lists until after the deposition of Ivor Thomas has been completed, and Defendants' Motion to Compel Plaintiff to Comply with Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice has been decided. In addition, Defendants reserve the right to offer in evidence the exhibits listed in Plaintiff's Proposed Trial Exhibit List, or offered or marked by Plaintiff. Defendants also reserve the right to offer in evidence documents not listed above that are used for cross-examination or impeachment purposes, or on rebuttal.

41.	40. E	39. E	38. E.	37. E	36. Le	35. Le	34. Le	33. Le	32. M	31. M	30. In	29. E-	28. E-		EXHIBIT DI
E-Mail from J. Ferrie to T. Malik	E-Mail from J. Koller to J. Ferrie	E-Mail from J. Ferrie to J. Kelly and J. Koller	E-Mail from J. Ferrie to J. Kelly	E-Mail from T. Malik to T. Rosen	Letter from H. McCole to J. Kelly	Letter from Jessica Yaffie Leveroni to Leonard Shatz, Esquire	Letter from T. Rogers to M. Russell	Letter from H. McCole to J. Kelly	Memo from P. Coyne to T. Malik	Memo from T. Malik to I. Thomas and D. Henderson	Internal John Hancock Loan Approval Package	E-Mail from T. Malik to D. Henderson	E-Mail from J. Ferrie to R. Kelly	E-Mail from T. Malik to J. Ferrie	DESCRIPTION
6/9/05	6/7/05	6/6/05	6/1/05	5/31/05	5/10/05	5/9/05	9/2/04	8/18/04	8/17/04	8/16/04	8/16/04	8/12/04	8/11/04	8/11/04	DATE
JH01196-1210	JH00149	JH00912; V0115; JH01101	JH00151	JH01211-212	JH00445	JH00505-508	JH00517-521	JH00522	JH01174	JH01176	JH00405-425	JH01175	JH00133-134	JH00135	BATES RANGE

55. (*)	54. (*)	53. (*)	52.	51.	50.	49.	48.	47.	46.	45.	44.	43.	42.	EXHIBIT
John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	John Hancock Life Insurance Company, 2005 Annual Statement	John Hancock Financial Services, Inc. 2004 Form 10-K	ManuLife Financial Mortgage Credit Policy	ManuLife Financial Mortgage Credit Policy	ManuLife Credit Procedures	Lending Guidelines - John Hancock Life Insurance Company and John Hancock Real Estate Finance, Inc.	John Hancock Real Estate Finance Group Lending Guidelines - January 26, 2005	Assignment Memorandum to Closing Department	E-Mail from B. Davis to B. Friedman	Letter from A. Francis to Wilmington Trust of Pennsylvania	Letter from J. Koller to T. Rogers, Esquire	DESCRIPTION
6/30/05	6/30/05	6/30/05							1/25/05	8/18/05	8/9/05	8/3/05	7/15/05	DATE
JH0138/	JH01386	JH01382-1385			JH01444-1467	JH01421-1443	JH01894-2277	JH01468-1893	JH02278-2514	ЈН00296-311	JH00446-454	JH00312-318	V0120	BATES RANGE

69. (*)	68. (*)	67. (*)	66. (*)	65. (*)	64. (*)	63. (*)	62. (*)	61. (*)	60. (*)	59. (*)	58. (*)	57. (*)	56. (*)	EXHIBIT
John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	DESCRIPTION
8/31/05	8/31/05	8/31/05	8/31/05	8/31/05	8/31/05	7/29/05	7/29/05	7/29/05	7/29/05	7/29/05	6/30/05	6/30/05	6/30/05	DATE
JH01416	JH01412-1415	JH01399	JH01398	JH01394-1397	JH01388-1391	JH01405	JH01404	JH01400-1403	JH01393	JH01392	JH01411	JH01410	JH01406-1409	RANGE

83.	82.	81.	80.	79.	78.	77.	76.	75.	74.	73. (*)	72. (*)	71. (*)		EXHIBIT
Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Response to Defendants' First Requests for the Production of Documents and Things	Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Response to Defendants' First Set of Interrogatories	Defendants' Answer & Counterclaim	Apartment Finder	Apartment Guide	Avenel Concessions	Avenel Flyers	Avenel Advertising Book	Apartment Shoppers Guide	Preferred Employer List	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHLICO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHVLICO & MIC (formerly IPLICO)	John Hancock Cash Balances - JHFS/JHRECO	DESCRIPTION
1/4/06	1/4/06	9/6/05	Winter 2005-06	October 2005	2005	2005	2005	1/06		8/31/05	8/31/05	8/31/05	8/31/05	DATE
			V2577-V2578	V2579-V2581	V2933-V2950	V2892-V2932	V2584-V2891	V2574-V2576	V2582-V2583	JH01420	JH01419	JH01418	JH01417	BATES RANGE

EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BATES RANGE
84.	Privilege Log of John Hancock Life Insurance Company	1/27/06	AA49
85.	Defendants' Notice of Rule 30(b)(6) Videotape Deposition of Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company, and accompanying cover letter	2/1/06	
86. (*)	Affidavit of John P. Ferrie in Support of Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunctive Relief	2/8/06	
87. (*)	Affidavit of Timothy J. Malik in Support of Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Motion for Preliminary Injunctive Relief	2/14/06	
88.	Defendants' Amended Counterclaim	2/16/06	
89. (*)	Supplemental Affidavit of Timothy J. Malik in Support of Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Motion for Summary Judgment	3/1/06	
90.	Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Response to Defendants' Second Requests for the Production of Documents and Things	3/3/06	
91.	Plaintiff John Hancock Life Insurance Company's Response to Defendants' Second Set of Interrogatories	3/3/06	
92. (*)	Expert Witness Report of Walter E. Mercer	3/24/06	
93. (*)	Expert Report of Simon Platt	3/24/06	

	DESCRIPTION	DATE	BATES
FYHIRI	DESCRIPTION	i	RANGE
NUMBER	of transport of the the arrange with the first of	3/24/06	
94.	Figures Comparison, Exhibit C to the expert witness report of   Linda Spevacek	3124100	
95.	Documents pertaining to Regatta Apartments		